Received: 18, Jan, 2016 Accepted: 25, Feb, 2016

International Journal of Hematology-Oncology and Stem Cell Research

Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Adult Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia: Results from a Single Center, 1993-2011

Ipek Yonal-Hindilerden¹, Sevgi Kalayoglu-Besisik¹, Nuray Gurses-Koc¹, Fehmi Hindilerden², Deniz Sargin³

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology, Istanbul University Istanbul Medical Faculty, Istanbul, Turkey ²Hematology Clinic, Istanbul Bakırkoy Sadi Konuk Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey ³Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology, Istanbul Medipol University, Istanbul, Turkey

Corresponding Author: Ipek Yonal-Hindilerden, MD. Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology, Istanbul University Istanbul Medical Faculty, Istanbul, Turkey Tel: +902124142000 Fax: +902123153640 Email: ipekyonal@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: For adult ALL patients, the indications and appropriate timing of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AHSCT) continue to be debated. The primary aim of this single-institution study was to compare the results of our adult ALL patients that had been allografted with those reported in the current literature.

Subjects and Methods: This study included 53 consecutive adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) who underwent allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AHSCT) with myeloablative (92%) and reduced-intensity (8%) conditioning between 1993 and 2011.

Results: Mean patient age was 27 years (SD:8.62) and donor age was 33.7 years (SD:9.47). Fourteen patients were in first remission; 21 in \geq 2nd remission, 15 in relapse and 3 had primary refractory leukemia. Thirty-four, 15 and 4 patients received busulfan plus cyclophosphamide, cyclophosphamide/total body irradiation and fludarabine-based regimens, respectively. For graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) prophylaxis, cyclosporine plus methotrexate were used. Forty-six donors were related and 7 were unrelated. Thirty patients received granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) mobilized peripheral blood and 23 received bone marrow as stem cell source. Twenty-six patients relapsed at a mean duration of 11.3 months (SD:19.1). Forty-four patients succumbed to their disease after a mean follow-up of 13.6 months (SD:19.5). The cause of mortality was relapse (n=24; 54.5%) and transplant-related etiologies (n=20; 45.5%). The estimated five year probabilities of overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) were 37% and 12%, respectively.

Conclusion: By multivariate analyses, transplantation in first remission was the most important predictor of transplant success.

Keywords: Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, Overall survival, Progression-free survival

INTRODUCTION

Adult acute lymphoid leukemia (ALL) is a rare disease, for which the indications and the appropriate timing of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AHSCT) continue to be discussed.¹⁻³ With current intense chemotherapy

protocols, majority of ALL patients younger than 55 achieve remission vears but most invariablyexperience relapse.⁴ Postremission include treatment strategies prolonged chemotherapy, autologous stem cell transplantation and AHSCT. Recently, targeted therapy with monoclonal antibodies have changed the policy of ALL treatment.⁵⁻⁸ Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have improved long-term outcome of adult patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive ALL.⁹⁻¹² This study aimed to retrospectively compare the results of our adult ALL patients that had been allografted with that reported in the current literature.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This study investigated clinical course of 53 consecutive patients who underwent AHSCT for adult ALL at Istanbul University Istanbul Medical Faculty between October 2003 to January 2011. Fifty-three patients (35 male, 18 female) with a mean age of 27 years (SD 8.62) were included. At the time of AHSCT, 35 patients were in complete remission (CR) (14 CR1 and 21 ≥CR2), 15 had relapsed disease and 3 had primary refractory leukemia. Myeloablative conditioning regimens (n=49; 92%) consisted of cyclophosphamide/total body irradiation (Cys-TBI) (n=15) or busulfancyclophosphamide (Bu-Cys) (n=34), whereas for reduced-intensity conditioning, fludarabine-based regimens were used (n=4; 8%). The source of stem cells was granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) mobilized peripheral blood (n=30, 56.6%) and bone marrow (n=23; 43.4%). Cyclosporine with short course of methotrexate (CYA-MTX) were used for graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) prophylaxis.

RESULTS

The mean donor age was 33.7 years (SD:9.47). Males comprised 54.7% (n=29) of donor population. There were 46 related (86.7%) and 7 unrelated (13.3%) donors. Pretransplant disease status and donor characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The incidence of unrelated transplantation in primary refractory group was higher as compared to other groups (p=0.004) (Table 1). In total, 26 of 53 patients (49.1%) relapsed after a mean duration of 11.3 months (SD:19.1). Fourty-four of 53 patients (83%) succumbed to their disease after a mean follow-up of 13.6 months (SD:19.5). Pretransplant status was main predictor of mortality (the rate of mortality in relapsed and primary refractory group

was 100%, 64.3% in CR1 and 81% in \geq CR2; p=0.065). The cause of mortality was relapse (n=24; 54.5%) and transplant-related etiologies (n=20; 45.5%) (Table 2). Transplant-related mortality was higher in primary refractory group than the other groups (p=0.008) (Table 2). On Kruskal Walis analysis, active disease at time of transplantation was associated with a higher likelihood of shorter overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) (p=0.001 and p=0.011, respectively).

Cox regression analysis demonstrated that the association between inferior OS and pretransplant disease status was sustained on multivariate analysis, which included age, gender, donor type (related/unrelated), source of stem cell, conditioning regimen, donor age and donor gender as covariates (OR:2.414; 95% CI:1.56-3.72; p < 0.001) (Figure 1). On the other hand, prognostic significance of disease status at the time of transplantation did not maintain its significant association with PFS in multivariate analysis (p>0.01). Table 3 demonstrates that CR1 and \geq CR2 patients at the time of transplantation achieved higher OS and PFS compared to relapsed and primary refractory group (p<0.001). Mean OS and median OS for ALL patients after AHSCT was 37.42 months (95% CI, 23.48-51.36) and 7 months (95% CI, 4.86-9.14), respectively. Mean PFS and median PFS for ALL patients after AHSCT were 20.32 months (95% CI, 11.45-29.18) and 6 months (95% CI, 3.86-8.14), respectively. OS rates 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 13 and 60 months after AHSCT were 94%, 75%, 64%, 56%, 47%, 37% and 37%, respectively (Figure 2a). Rates of PFS at 1st, 3rd, 5th, 6th, 7th, 13th and 60th month of AHSCT were 92%, 71%, 58%, 47%, 45%, 25% and 12%, respectively (Figure 2b).

Table 1: Characteristics of pretransplant disease status and donor types					
Pretransplant disease	Related Unrelated				
status (N)	N (%)	N (%)			
CR1 (14)	12 (85.7%)	2 (14.3%)			
≥CR2 (21)	19 (90.5%)	2 (9.5%)			
Relapsed disease (15)	14 (93.3%)	1 (6.7%)			
Primary refractory disease (3)	1 (33.3%)	2 (66.7%)			

161 consecutive adult ALL patients who underwent AHSCT with myeloablative conditioning between

Table 2: Causes of	of posttransplant	mortality ac	cording to	pretransplant

Pretransplant disease status (N)	Transplant-related mortality N (%)	Relapse-related mortality N (%)
CR1 (14)	3/14 (21.4%)	5/14 (35.7%)
≥CR2 (21)	8/21 (38.1%)	10/21 (47.6%)
Relapsed disease (15)	6/15 (40%)	9/15 (60%)
Primary refractory disease (3)	3/3 (100%)	0/3
Total	20/53 (37.7%)	24/53 (45. 2%)

 Table 3: Comparison of overall survival and progression-free survival according to pretransplant disease status

Pretransplant disease status (N)	Posttransplant overall survival (months) mean (SD)	Posttransplant progression-free survival (months) mean (SD)
CR1 (14)	19.2 (SD:18.1)	15.2 (SD:16.9)
≥CR2 (21)	18.3 (SD:25.3)	15.6 (SD:26.1)
Relapsed disease (15)	4.4 (SD:2.5)	3.8 (SD;2.3)
Primary refractory disease (3)	1.6 (SD:1.1)	1.6 (SD:1.1)
Total	13.6 (SD:19.5)	11.3 (SD:19.1)



Figure 1: Kaplan-Meier plot. OS of ALL patients stratified by pretransplant disease status (n=53). ALL patients that underwent transplantation in CR1 predicted a higher OS compared to the other groups (OR: 2.414; 95% CI: 1.56-3.72; p<0.001).

DISCUSSION

Our study summarized an 18-year experience in 53 consecutive ALL patients treated at Istanbul University Medical Faculty, Adult Stem Cell Transplantation Unit. In total, 49.1% of patients relapsed at a mean of 11.3 months and 83% succembed to their disease after a mean period of 13.6 months. In our study, 5-year OS and PFS were 37% and 12%, respectively. In study by Doney K. et al. the rate of death was reported as 60.8% among

1998 and 2006.¹³ In that study, the estimated 5-year probability of OS was 38% and transplantation in CR1 was the most important predictor of successful transplantation, in line with our results. ¹³ Doney K. et al. reported a significantly lower rate of nonrelapse mortality (NRM) in ALL patients who underwent AHSCT in CR1 as compared to those in ≥CR2 or in relapse (21%, 35% and 46%, respectively).¹³ Likewise, in our study, NRM in CR1, ≥CR2 and relapsed group was 21.4%, 38.1% and 40%, respectively. The most frequent cause of death in our study poulation was recurrent ALL, in line with the above-mentioned study (54.5% and 52%, respectively). In that study, 47% of patients underwent transplantation in CR1, 35% in second or greater CR and 18% in relapse, whereas in our study rates were 26.4%, 39.6% and 28.3%, respectively.¹³ Also, in our study, 5.7% of patients had primary refractory disease at time of AHSCT. Although the 5year OS in our study group was similar to the aforementioned study,¹³ the rate of death was higher in our study (83% and 60.8%, respectively). This can be explained by the lower incidence of patients who underwent AHSCT in CR1 in our study (26.4% and 47%, respectively). Recently, Giaccone L. et al. summarized a single-center, 12-year experience of 88 consecutive patients were diagnosed with ALL at Division of Hematology at Città della Salute e della Scienza Hospital, University of Torino, Torino, Italy.¹⁴ In that study, 40 of 88 patients underwent AHSCT (85% in CR1 (n=34), 12.5% in CR2 (n=5) and 0.025% in progression (n=1)).¹⁴ Conditioning regimens were myeloablative in the majority of cases (95%). Median time from diagnosis to allografting was 6 months (range, 4-10 months). In contrast to our study, the incidence of G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood was significantly higher in that study as compared to our study (93% and 56.6%, respectively).¹⁴ As opposed to study by Doney et.al. and our study, Giaccone et al. reported a lower rate of death after AHSCT (60.8%, 83% and 47.5%, respectively).^{13,14} Mortality due to disease recurrence was higher in Giaccone L. et al. as compared to the study by Doney K. et.al. and the current study (84.2%, 52% and 54.5%, respectively).13,14



Figure 2: Survival outcomes and progression-free survival of ALL patients (N=53). a: Overall survival data for 53 ALL patients. OS rates were 94%, 75%, 64%, 56%, 47%, 37% and 37% at 1st, 3rd, 5th, 6th, 7th, 13th and 60th month of AHSCT, respectively. b: Progression-free survival data for 53 ALL patients. Rates of PFS were 92%, 71%, 58%, 47%, 45%, 25% and 12% at 1st, 3rd, 5th, 6th, 7th, 13th and 60th months of AHSCT, respectively.

In the study by Giaccone et al. NRM was extremely low. This finding may be explained by a high incidence of early relapse (17.5% at 1 year), the high incidence of transplantation in CR1 (85%) and young median age (41 years).14 In the aforementioned patients undergoing transplantation, OS and PFS at 5 years were both 53%. In conclusion, OS at 5 years was higher in the study by Giaccone L. et al. as compared to study by Doney K. et.al. and the current study (53%, 38% and 37%, respectively).^{13,14} This is likely due to the higher incidence of ALL patients in CR1 before transplantation and the low median time from diagnosis to AHSCT in the study by Giaccone et al.¹⁴ In our study, 5-year PFS was lower compared to the study by Giaccone et al. (12% and 53%, respectively).

This can be explained by the higher incidence of active-disease before AHSCT and the lack of minimal residual disease (MRD) monitoring in our center, which represents an independent risk factor and may help to identify patients who would most benefit from AHSCT and redefining relapse.^{15,16} The optimal role of TKIs remains to be defined in transplant-eligible patients.⁹⁻¹² Monoclonal antibodies, such as rituximab, improved survival in CD20-positive ALL; blinatumomab, resulted in OS rates of 40% to 50% in a refractory or relapsed disease and inotuzubab ozogamicin, resulted in 55% CR in a similar setting.⁵⁻⁸

Novel targeted therapies (monoclonal antibodies or TKIs) either used as a bridge to transplant or as maintenance in high risk patients or both may achieve better disease control and improve transplantation outcomes, leading to higher cure rates. In conclusion, the policy for the treatment of ALL at our institution has been considering an AHSCT in CR1 in young high-risk patients.

CONCLUSION

ALL patients that underwent transplantation in CR1 predicted a successful transplantation. In our study, the 5-year OS was 37 which is in line with some previously published data. In our adult ALL population, posttransplant relapse remains the major cause of morbidity and mortality. In our study, the 5-year PFS was 12%, which was lower compared to the published studies. This can be explained by the higher incidence of active-disease before AHSCT and the lack of MRD monitoring in our center, which represents an independent risk factor and may help to identify patients who would most benefit from AHSCT and to redefine relapse. Novel targeted therapies (monoclonal antibodies or TKIs) either used as a bridge to transplant or as maintenance in high risk patients or in both conditions may achieve better disesase control and improve transplantation outcomes, leading to higher cure rates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the nurses in Adult Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation Unit of Istanbul Medical Faculty for their endless patience, good humor and hard work.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Larson RA. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation is not recommended for all adults with standard-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia in first complete remission. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2009; 15(1 Suppl):11-16.

2. Goldstone AH, Richards SM, Lazarus HM, et al. In adults with standard-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia, the greatest benefit is achieved from a matched sibling allogeneic transplantation in first complete remission, and an autologous transplantation is less effective than conventional consolidation/maintenance chemotherapy in all patients: final results of the International ALL Trial (MRC UKALL XII/ECOG E2993). Blood. 2008; 111(4):1827-1833.

3. Goldstone AH. Transplants in adult ALL? Allo for everyone (review). Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2009; 15(1 Suppl):7-10.

4. Bassan R, Hoelzer D. Modern therapy of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29(5):532-543.

5. Thomas DA, O'Brien S, Faderl S, et al. Chemoimmunotherapy with a modified hyper-CVAD and rituximab regimen improves outcome in de novo Philadelphia chromosomenegativeprecursor B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28(24):3880-9.

6. Topp MS, Kufer P, Gökbuget N, et al. Targeted therapy with the T-cell-engaging antibody blinatumomab of chemotherapy-refractory minimal residual disease in Blineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients results in high response rate and prolonged leukemia-free survival. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29(18):2493-8.

7. Topp MS, Gökbuget N, Zugmaier G, et al. Long-term follow-up of hematologic relapse-free survival in a phase 2 study of blinatumomab in patients with MRD in B-lineage ALL. Blood. 2012; 120(26):5185-7.

8. Kantarjian H, Thomas D, Jorgensen J, et al. Inotuzumab ozogamicin, an anti-CD22-calecheamicin conjugate, for refractory and relapsed acute lymphocytic leukaemia: a phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol. 2012; 13(4):403-11.

9. Ottmann OG, Pfeifer H. Management of Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Ph+ ALL). Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2009.2009(1); 371-381.

10. Bassan R, Rossi G, Pogliani EM, et al. Chemotherapyphased imatinib pulses improve long-term outcome of adult patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Northern Italy Leukemia Group protocol 09/00. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28(22):3644-52.

11. Foà R, Vitale A, Vignetti M, et al. GIMEMA Acute Leukemia Working Party. Dasatinib as first-line treatment for adult patients with Philadelphia chromosome-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. 2011; 118(25):6521-8.

12. Mizuta S, Matsuo K, Nishiwaki S, et al. Pretransplant administration of imatinib for allo-HSCT in patients with BCR-ABL-positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. 2014; 123(15):2325-32.

13. Doney K, Gooley TA, Deeg HJ, et al. Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation with full-intensity conditioning for adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results from a single center, 1998-2006. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2011; 17(8):1187-95.

14. Giaccone L, Audisio E, Bruno B, et al. Role of Chemotherapy and Allografting in the Treatment of Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. 2016; 16(2):96-103.

15. Bassan R, Spinelli O, Oldani E, et al. Improved risk classification for risk-specifictherapy based on the molecular study of minimal residual disease (MRD) in adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Blood. 2009; 113(18):4153-62.

16. Bruggemann M, Raff T, Kneba M. Has MRD monitoring superseded otherprognostic factors in adult ALL?. Blood. 2012; 120(23):4470-81.